

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/635,421	08/06/2003	Michael Forman	663P	7325
75	90 03/07/2006		EXAMINER	
Thomas M. Freiburger P.O. Box 1026			LACYK, JOHN P	
Tiburon, CA	94920		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			3735	
			DATE MAILED: 03/07/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/635,421	FORMAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John P. Lacyk	3735	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6) In no event, however, may a reply be time till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. see except for formal matters, pro	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5,11-14,18,19,25,31-33,40-43 and 4 7) Claim(s) 6-10,15-17,20-24,26-30,34-39,44 and 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ access	16 is/are rejected. 145 is/are objected to. 1 election requirement.	Evaminer	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11).	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. S have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/22/03.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	•	

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 31-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Freire. Freire discloses a method for treating macular degeneration by sliding a catheter around the globe of an eye to position behind the macular region to treat the area. Freire also teaches using optical fibers (60) to shine light through the sclera and choroids to locate the device (column 5, lines 14-19).
- 3. Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Finger. Finger discloses a method for treating age-related macular degeneration by sliding a catheter around the eye to position behind the macular region to treat the area (column 3, lines 54-61). Finger teaches using lights to provide assistance in locating the device to allow the physician to "look inside the eye and see the area of treatment", which is considered to inherently allow viewing from the front of the eye and be visible through the sclera, choroids and retina.

Application/Control Number: 10/635,421 Page 3

Art Unit: 3735

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-3, 11-14, 18-19, 25, 40-43, 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as 5. being unpatentable over Freire (5,637,073) in view of Jaafar (2003/0179854). Freire discloses a method for treating macular degeneration by sliding a catheter around the globe of an eye to position behind the macular region to treat the area. Freire also teaches using optical fibers (60) to shine light through the sclera and choroids to locate the device (column 5, lines 14-19). While Freire discloses using radiation to treat the area, Freire fails to teach using a switchable x-ray source that is capable of directional emission. Jaafar teaches using a switchable x-ray source (Figures 2a-2d, paragraph 0035) to treat age-related macular degeneration (paragraph 0052). Therefore a modification of Freire to substitute the radiation source for the x-ray source as taught by Jaafar would have been obvious since this would have been the mere substitution of one well known type of radiation source for treating macular degeneration for another and further the x-ray source of Jaafar would provide better and more accurate control of the radiation, both direction and strength, that is exposed to the body such that the radiation source is not exposed to unwanted areas.

Application/Control Number: 10/635,421

Art Unit: 3735

6. Claims 4-5, 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Freire in view of Jaafar as applied to claims above, and further in view of Raguin et al (2002/0131699).

While Freire discloses using optical fibers, Freire does not specifically teach the ends being cleaved or polished. Raguin et al teaches (paragraph 0053) that is is well known in the fiber optic art to have the ends cleaved or polished to ensure efficient propagation and to reduce back reflection. Therefore it would have been obvious to modify the optical fibers such that they are cleaved or polished at taught by Raguin et al since this is well known in the fiber optic art to provide a better signal and reduce any unwanted reflections.

7. Claims 6-10, 15-17, 20-24, 26-30, 34-39 and 44-45 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John P. Lacyk whose telephone number is 571-272-4728. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ali Imam can be reached on 571-272-4737. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John P Lacyk
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3735

J.P. Lacyk